

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/091,140	03/06/2002	Robert S. Block	033386-001	5151
75	590 01/29/2004	EXAMINER		
Frederick K. N	•	MCCLELLAN, JAMES S		
BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	ŧ.
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004	ŀ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

c.					11			
•		Application	n No.	(s)				
	_	10/091,14	0	BLOCK ET AL.	<i>y</i>			
• Office Action	Examiner		Art Unit					
		James S N		3627				
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication ap	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
<ul> <li>If NO period for reply is specified a</li> </ul>	THIS COMMUNICATION. The under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. The ailing date of this communication. The is less than thirty (30) days, a repove, the maximum statutory period tended period for reply will, by statuther than three months after the mailing	136(a). In no eve oly within the statu will apply and will ee, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) day. I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
1) Responsive to comr	nunication(s) filed on 06 N	November 20	<u>003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL	2b)∐ This	action is no	n-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/ar 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/ar	m(s) is/are withdra e allowed. rejected.	awn from cor						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is o	bjected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed		•	•	-				
	uest that any objection to the							
	sheet(s) including the correct	· ·						
11) The oath or declarati	•	xaminer. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTC	J-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1	•		do- 25 H C C   \$ 440/-	) (d) == (5)				
2. Certified copies 3. Copies of the application fro  * See the attached deta 13) Acknowledgment is magnification of the since a specific reference of the since as pecific refe	c) None of: es of the priority documents of the priority document certified copies of the priority makes the International Bureautiled Office action for a list ade of a claim for domestince was included in the first of the foreign language priority of the priority documents of the priority do	its have been ts have been prity docume au (PCT Rule t of the certif tic priority un rst sentence covisional app tic priority un	n received. In received in Application received in Application ts have been received 17.2(a)). It is it is it is in the copies not received and 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) of the specification or plication has been received and 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No  ed in this National S  ed.  e) (to a provisional a  in an Application C  eived.  and/or 121 since a	application) Data Sheet.			
Attachment(s)			_					
Notice of References Cited (PT     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent     Information Disclosure Statement	Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: .					

Art Unit: 3627

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Amendment

1. Applicant's submittal of an amendment was entered on November 6, 2003, wherein:

claims 1-41 are pending;

claims 1-3, 6, and 8-10 have been amended; and

claims 12-41 have been added.

Applicant's submission of substitute drawings 5/9/03 is acknowledged and approved for entry.

Applicant's submission of a substitute specification on 11/6/03 is acknowledged and approved for entry.

### Claim Objections

2. Claim 33 is objected to because of the following informalities: lines 1-2 include a redundant limitation, "wherein the multiple accounts comprise a control account, wherein the multiple accounts comprise a control account,". The Examiner recommends deleting the second occurrence of the limitation.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3627

4. Claims 7, 14, 15, and 25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the sub classification" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is noted that antecedent basis was previously found in claim 6, but claim 6 was amended to remove the term "a sub".

Regarding claims 14, 15, and 25, the term "and/or" causes confusion as to what Applicant's intends to claim as their invention.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-7 and 15-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilkinson et al in view of *Creating Financial Information in XBRL* (O'Rourke). It is noted that additional pages from Wilkinson et al. are relied upon in this rejection as compared to the original rejection. The new pages are 385-391.

In regards to independent **claim 1**, Wilkinson et al. discloses a method for organizing multiple accounts, comprising: assigning labels to each account from a predefined label set (see example of predefined labels in Figures 11-5 and 11-6 on page 383); defining some of the multiple accounts as first Alternate Control Accounts

Art Unit: 3627

associated with a first accounting standard that is defined using labels from the labels (see page 382, third full paragraph, wherein Wilkinson et al. discusses that accounts must be classified to meet the external requirements of governmental agencies such as the IRS); a computer system receiving data matched with labels from the label set (see page 388 what discloses computer menu features); [claim 2] wherein the label set comprises Assets (see page 383, final paragraph, asset), liabilities, revenues, expenses, owner's equity, and cost of sales; [claim 3] wherein the label set comprises Liquid Assets (inherent since fixed assets is given as an example on page 383, final paragraph), Accounts Receivables, Accounts Payable, Trade Revenues, Trade Expenses Operating Cash Flow, Financing Cash Flow, and Investing Cash Flow; [claim 4] assigning an account classification label to an account (see minor classification, for example type of fixed assets, see paragraph bridging pages 383-384); and [claim 5] selecting the account classification label from an account classification label set comprising Customer, Supplier, Rent, Utilities, and Commissions (see "commissions" on page 384, third full paragraph); [claim 6] assigning multi-level classification to labels an account (see page 384, "AAA-BBBB-CC"); [claim] 7] selecting the multi-level classification label from a set comprising details about elements in the account classification label set (see Figure 11-6); [claim 16] a user matching labels form the label set to the data (see Figure 11-6); [claim 17] the label set comprises labels defined by a user (see page 384); [claim 31] the received data include financial and non-financial data (it is inherent that data can be financial, such as expenses, or non-financial, such user comments); [claim 32] the multiple accounts are organized based on the assigned labels (see Figure 11-6 and page 384); [claim 33] accounts (see page 384, for example "AAA-BBBB-CC") include sub-accounts ("BBBB")

Art Unit: 3627

and elements ("CC"); [claim 34] displaying steps are in response to a use's selection (see menu items on page 388, "account analysis", "record inquiry", "reports"); [claim 35] receiving a date selection indicating a time period; receiving an account selection; and

displaying activity in the selected account from the selected time period (see Figures 11-7, 11-8, 11-9, and 11-10 which allow account information for a specific data or period of time); [claim 36] receiving an account selection; receiving a date selection indicating a point in time for each account in the account selection; and displaying for each account in the account selection, and account value on the point in time (see Figures 11-7, 11-8, 11-9, and 11-10 which allow account information for a specific data or period of time); [claim 37] receiving goals and rules linking financial data with non-financial data; identifying, via assigned labels and the matched labels, ones of the multiple accounts and posted data relevant to the received goals and rules; and modeling changes to the identified accounts and identified posted data that achieve goals and rules (see page 382 that states that accounts are set up for external parties, wherein the goals and rules of the external party will be used to modify the accounts); [claim 38] identifying activities associated with the identified accounts and identified posted data (see Figure 11-9, wherein users may inquiry the record or generate reports to identify account activity); and [claim 39] adjusting the molded changes in response to inputs from a user (see Figure 11-9).

Wilkinson et al. fails to expressly disclose the computer system posting the received data in to the first Alternate Control Accounts, based on the labels matched to the received data. Wilkinson et al. discloses that separate accounts can be generated for external entities based on the requirements of governmental agencies.

are provided between accounts (as required by claim 13).

Art Unit: 3627

O'Rourke teaches that the XBRL system allows automatic posting of account information across any number of desired externally required statements (see page 57).

Additionally, since the XBRL program is used over the Internet, it is inherent that links

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wilkinson et al. with automatic posting of financial data to various accounts requiring specific accounting standards as taught by O'Rourke, because automatic posting between accounts reduces the amount of time required by employees to manually post the data and improving data integrity by eliminating human error.

7. Claim 8-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilkinson et al. in view of O'Rourke as applied to claims 1-7 and 15-41 above, and further in view of Guide to Small Business Accounting Software (Needle).

In regards to dependent **claims 8-14**, Wilkinson et al. in combination with O'Rourke fail to expressly disclose a system that displays side-by-side reporting.

In regards to independent claim 8, Needle discloses a method for displaying financial data, comprising: aligning data sequences side-by-side (see page 1-4, paragraph under Financial Statements, "side-by-side" reporting, wherein the user may select column data from all available accounting sequences); organizing all elements in the sequences so that all corresponding elements in the sequences are aligned in the same row; [claim 9], as best understood, organizing all the elements in each sequence in accordance with a standing ordering for one of the sequences (see third tab in Figure on page 1-4 that allows "sorting criteria"); [claim 10] the standard ordering is of the Ending Balance Sheet sequence (see Figure in the left column of page 1-4, wherein an available sequence is

Art Unit: 3627

Balance "X" months back; and [claim 11] all the elements in each sequence in accordance with an ordering selected by a user (the reports are created by the user, see page 1-4, "Financial Statements").

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wilkinson et al. with side-by-side reporting taught by Needle, because side-by-side reporting allows the user to easily compare accounts on a single display.

## Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed November 6, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On page 13, second paragraph, Applicant notes that a substitute specification and corrected drawings have been submitted to overcome the previous objections. The objections are withdrawn.

On page 13, third paragraph, Applicant request reconsideration of the 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph and 35 U.S.C. § 101 rejections in view of the current claims. The previous rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112 and 35 U.S.C. § 101 are withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments relating to prior art rejections are moot in view of the new grounds of rejections necessitated by Applicant's amendment.

#### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3627

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than

SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jim McClellan whose telephone number is (703) 305-

0212. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703) 308-5183.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks

Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

Art Unit: 3627

(703) 872-9306 (Official communications) or (703) 746-3516 (Informal/Draft communications).

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal

Drive, Arlington, VA, 7<sup>th</sup> floor receptionist.

James S. McClellar Primary Examiner A.U. 3627

jsm January 21, 2004